

1. a) This problem, once simplified, is a repeat of the thought experiment behind Newton's law of viscosity. $\mu \frac{dv_x}{dy} = 13.4$
 $= 2.68 \cdot 10^4 \text{ m/s}^2$ (in magnitude). The shear force is $-\mu \frac{dv_x}{dy} =$
 $0.001(2.68 \cdot 10^4) = 26.8 \text{ N/m}^2$. The ~~force~~ area per tire
 is $0.3 \times 0.15 \text{ m} = 0.045 \text{ m}^2$ ^{for each of four tires}. Thus the force for deceleration
 is $(26.8)(0.045)(4) = 4.824 \text{ N}$
 From Newton's law of motion $F = m \frac{dv_x}{dt}$; $-4.84 = 900 \frac{dv_x}{dt}$;
 $\frac{dv_x}{dt} = 0.00536$. The car hardly slows down at all.

b) For shearing flow between plates, the relevant definition
 of Re is $\frac{\rho V \gamma}{\mu}$; $\gamma =$ gap width, $V =$ vel. of moving plate
 and the upper limit for laminar flow is 3000.
 Here $Re = \frac{(0.0005)(13.4)(1000)}{0.001} = 6700 > 3000$. The analysis
 in part (a) is not valid, or at least not quantitatively
 correct.

My solution above is a bit loose about +/- signs. Physically,
 it is clear the automobile must exert force through the
 film of water to maintain its velocity, and that force rep-
 resents a deceleration of the ~~car~~ auto.

* IF One started with momentum balance (no gravity, no ρg , no accen)

$$\rho \omega \tau_{xz}|_x - \rho \omega \tau_{xz}|_{x+\Delta x} + \rho \Delta x \rho v_z^2|_{z=0} - \rho \Delta x \rho v_z^2|_{z=L} = 0$$

Cancel because $v_z = \text{const}$ along streamlines

$$\rightarrow \rho \omega \frac{\tau_{xz}|_x - \tau_{xz}|_{x+\Delta x}}{\Delta x} = 0$$

$$\rightarrow -\frac{d\tau_{xz}}{dx} = 0 \rightarrow \tau_{xz} = C_1 \quad \text{No BC on } \tau.$$

$$-\mu \frac{dv_z}{dx} = C_1 \rightarrow v_z = -\frac{C_1}{\mu} x + C_2. \quad \text{BC: } v_z = 0 \text{ at } x = 0 \rightarrow C_2 = 0$$

$$\text{BC } v_z = V = 13.4 \text{ at } x = \delta = 0.005 \rightarrow 13.4 = -\frac{C_1}{\mu} \delta \rightarrow C_1 = -\frac{13.4 \cdot 0.001}{0.0005}$$

$$\rightarrow C_1 = -26.8 = \tau_{xz} \text{ fr. eq. (I) above}$$

2. Velocity is unknown. $Re = \frac{Dv\rho}{\mu} = \frac{(0.005)v(1.26)}{1.75 \cdot 10^{-5}} = 360v$

Eq. 6.1-7: $f = \frac{4}{3} \frac{gD}{v^2} \left(\frac{P_s - P}{\rho} \right) = \frac{4}{3} \frac{(9.8)(0.005)}{v^2} \left(\frac{125 - 1.26}{1.26} \right) = 6.42/v^2$

There are various ways to solve this, but all involve making a guess + checking it when done. One could guess the range of Re and use an analytical approx. formula; then check Re , and, if necessary, try a different range. Here I use "successive substitution" and Fig. 6.3-1.

Guess $v = 0.01$ m/s. $Re = 3.60$ $f \approx 10 \rightarrow 10 = 6.42/v^2 \rightarrow v = 0.80$

0.80 288 0.7 3.03

3.03 1090 0.48 3.66

3.66 1370 0.45 3.77

3.77 1360 [I can't read chart closely enough to see a difference. Iteration is over.]

$v = 3.77$ m/s

Intuitively, this seems 'way too fast. I suspect I overestimated the density of the bug.

One could also use the other trial + error method, e.g.,

Guess $Re > 500$, $f = 0.44 = 6.42/v^2 \rightarrow v = 3.81$ $Re = 1375$ ✓

or, guess $f = \left(\frac{24}{Re} + 5.407 \right)^2$ (BSL eq. 6.3-16). You need trial + error, but

this converges on $3.76 = v \rightarrow Re = 1350 < 6000$ ✓

But, if you guess $Re < 0.1$ (BSL 6.3-15)

or $1 < Re < 1000$ (eq. given in class)

...you find Re too large + must guess again

Note that because of the role of kinetic energy + fittings in problem 3, one can't simply use Eq. 6.1-4 to solve it.

3. a) There are two ^{equivalent} ways to proceed: a) take the entrance surface "1" at the top of the tank. H then enters the problem through the change in height from "1" to "2". b) take the entrance just upstream of the pipe at the bottom of the tank, H enters through the pressure difference between "1" and "2".

Here I use the first approach:

Eq. 7.5-10 applies. Take the terms one at a time:

$$\frac{1}{2}(V_2^2 - V_1^2) = \frac{1}{2}[(5)^2 - 0^2] = 12.5 \quad (\text{velocity} \cong 0 \text{ in tank})$$

$$g(h_2 - h_1) = (9.8)(2 - 0.5 - H) = 9.8(1.5 - H)$$

$$\int \frac{1}{\rho} dP = 0. \text{ No } \Delta P; \text{ both surfaces at 1 atm.}$$

$$\hat{W}_m = 0. \text{ No work in or out.}$$

$$\sum \left(\frac{1}{2} V^2 \frac{L}{R_h f} \right) = \frac{1}{2} (5)^2 \frac{(2 + 0.5)}{0.005} f$$

Note since pipe dia. is uniform, $V = 5$ m/s everywhere.

$$\text{Recall for circular pipe } R_h = D/4 = \frac{0.02}{4} = 0.005$$

$$\text{For } f, \text{ we need } Re. \quad Re = \frac{\rho V D}{\mu} = \frac{(1000)(5)(0.02)}{0.001} = 10^5$$

$$\frac{K}{D} = \frac{0.005}{2} = 0.0025. \text{ From Fig. 6.2-2, for } \frac{K}{D} = 0.0025 \text{ and } Re = 10^5,$$

$$f \cong 0.0062$$

$$\therefore \text{ term is } \frac{1}{2} (5)^2 \frac{3.5}{0.005} (0.0062) = 54.25$$

$$\sum \left(\frac{1}{2} V^2 e_j \right) = \left[0.45 + 1.6 \times 2 \right] \frac{1}{2} (5)^2 = 45.65$$

contraction (middle of range) elbows

Putting it together:

$$12.5 + 9.8(1.5 - H) = -54.25 - 45.65 \quad \textcircled{1}$$

$$1.5 - H = (-112.4) / 9.8 = -11.46$$

$$H = 12.97 \text{ m}$$

b) $\textcircled{1}$ Note that very roughly 50% of dissipation is in pipes, 40% in fittings, 10% \rightarrow kinetic energy. If the drag in the pipe were 2 or 3x greater, it would greatly change value of H.

Note from signs of terms in this eq., large H gives energy for dissipation in pipe + fittings, + kinetic energy of water leaving pipe.

9. a) Everything is the same up to the statement of Newton's law of viscosity (with μ a constant). Thus the last eq to use unchanged is Eq. 2.3-12. ^(b) Combining that eq.

with this expression for $\mu(r)$ gives

$$\left(\frac{\Delta P}{2L}\right)r = -\left(\frac{1}{A+B(r/R)}\right)\frac{dv_z}{dr}$$

$$-\left(\frac{\Delta P}{2L}\right)r\left(A+B\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)\right) = \frac{dv_z}{dr} = -\left(\frac{\Delta P}{2L}\right)\left(Ar + B\left(\frac{r^2}{R}\right)\right), \text{ Integrate;}$$

$$v_z = -\left(\frac{\Delta P}{2L}\right)\left[A\left(\frac{r^2}{2}\right) + B\left(\frac{r^3}{3R}\right)\right] + C_1$$

$$\text{BC: } v_z = 0 \text{ at } r = R \rightarrow 0 = -\left(\frac{\Delta P}{2L}\right)\left[A\left(\frac{R^2}{2}\right) + B\left(\frac{R^3}{3R}\right)\right] + C_1$$

$$C_1 = \frac{\Delta P}{2L}\left[A\left(\frac{R^2}{2}\right) + B\left(\frac{R^3}{3R}\right)\right]$$

$$v_z = \frac{\Delta P}{2L}\left[A\left(\frac{R^2-r^2}{2}\right) + B\left(\frac{R^3-r^3}{3R}\right)\right] = \frac{\Delta P R^2}{4L}\left[A\left(1-\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^2\right) + B\frac{2}{3}\left(1-\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^3\right)\right]$$

Notes: there are probably more elegant ways to group the terms in this equation.

This problem is ~~only~~ ^{only} very loosely related to polymer flow in porous media. The polymer avoids the pore wall, and viscosity is less there. The actual expressions for this are too complicated to put on an exam.

* strictly, one could say 2.3-13 is still valid, if one doesn't treat μ as a constant. I accepted either answer.

I also accepted 2.3-14 if the subsequent derivation made clear μ was not a const.