DELFT UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

FACULTY OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING, MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE

ANSWERS OF THE TEST NUMERICAL METHODS FOR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS (WI3097 TU) Tuesday April 3 2007, 14:00-17:00

1. (a) The local truncation error is given by

$$\tau_{n+1}(h) = \frac{y_{n+1} - z_{n+1}}{h}. (1)$$

Here we obtain y_{n+1} by a Taylor expansion around t_n :

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + hy'(t_n) + \frac{h^2}{2}y''(t_n) + O(h^3).$$
 (2)

From the Chain Rule of Differentiation, we know

$$y''(t_n) = f(t_n, y_n)$$

$$y''(t_n) = \frac{df(t_n, y_n)}{dt} = \frac{\partial f(t_n, y_n)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f(t_n, y_n)}{\partial y} y'(t_n) =$$

$$= \frac{\partial f(t_n, y_n)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f(t_n, y_n)}{\partial y} f(t_n, y_n).$$
(3)

Hence, one obtains

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + hy'(t_n) + \frac{h^2}{2} \left(\frac{\partial f(t_n, y_n)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f(t_n, y_n)}{\partial y} f(t_n, y_n) \right) + O(h^3).$$
 (4)

For z_{n+1} , we obtain, after substitution of the predictor step for z_{n+1}^* into the corrector step and after a Taylor expansion around (t_n, y_n)

$$z_{n+1} = y_n + h((1 - \theta)f(t_n, y_n) + \theta f(t_n + h, y_n + hf(t_n, y_n))) =$$

$$y_n + h\left((1-\theta)f(t_n, y_n) + \theta(f(t_n, y_n) + h(\frac{\partial f(t_n, y_n)}{\partial t} + f(t_n, y_n)\frac{\partial f(t_n, y_n)}{\partial y})) + O(h^2)\right).$$
(5)

Subsequently, it follows that

$$y_{n+1} - z_{n+1} = O(h^2)$$
, and, hence $\tau_{n+1}(h) = \frac{O(h^2)}{h} = O(h)$ for $0 \le \theta \le 1$, (6)

$$y_{n+1} - z_{n+1} = O(h^3)$$
, and, hence $\tau_{n+1}(h) = \frac{O(h^3)}{h} = O(h^2)$ for $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$. (7)

(b) Consider the test equation $y' = \lambda y$, then, herewith, one obtains

$$w_{n+1} = w_n + h\lambda w_n = (1 + h\lambda)w_n, w_{n+1} = w_n + h((1 - \theta)\lambda w_n + \theta\lambda w_{n+1}^*) = = w_n + h((1 - \theta)\lambda w_n + \theta\lambda(w_n + h\lambda w_n)) = (1 + h\lambda + \theta(h\lambda)^2)w_n.$$
(8)

Hence the amplification factor is given by

$$Q(h\lambda) = 1 + h\lambda + \theta(h\lambda)^2. \tag{9}$$

(c) Let $\underline{w}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$. Application of the numerical method, yields for the predictor

$$\underline{w}_1^* = \underline{w}_0 + h \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \underline{w}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{10}$$

For the corrector step, we get with $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$

$$\underline{w}_1 = \underline{w}_0 + \frac{h}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} (\underline{w}_0 + \underline{w}_1^*) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (11)

(d) To analyse numerical stability, the eigenvalues of the matrix A are needed. These eigenvalues are given by $\lambda_{1,2} = -1 \pm i$. Subsequently, the eigenvalues are substituted into the amplification factor from assignment b. Then, one obtains with h=1

$$Q(h\lambda) = 1 + (-1+i) + \theta(-1+i)^2 = i(1-2\theta).$$
(12)

Hence, for the modulus of the amplification factor, we obtain

$$|Q(h\lambda)| = |1 - 2\theta|,\tag{13}$$

This implies that the method is stable for h = 1 for all $0 \le \theta \le 1$. This holds for both eigenvalues.

(e) The amplification factor for the backward method due to Euler follows from the test equation:

$$w_{n+1} = w_n + h\lambda w_{n+1} \Leftrightarrow w_{n+1} = \frac{1}{1 - h\lambda}.$$
 (14)

With $\lambda = -1 \pm i$, we obtain

$$Q(h\lambda) = \frac{1}{1 - h(-1 \pm i)} = \frac{1}{1 + h \mp ih}.$$
 (15)

This implies

$$|Q(h\lambda)|^2 = \frac{1}{(1+h)^2 + h^2} < 1 \text{ for all } h > 0.$$
 (16)

This implies that the backward Euler method is stable for each h > 0.

2. (a) Taylor polynomials are:

$$f(0) = f(0),$$

$$f(h) = f(0) + hf'(0) + \frac{h^2}{2}f''(0) + \frac{h^3}{6}f'''(\xi_1),$$

$$f(2h) = f(0) + 2hf'(0) + 2h^2f''(0) + \frac{(2h)^3}{6}f'''(\xi_2).$$

We know that $Q(h) = \alpha_0 f(0) + \alpha_1 f(h) + \alpha_2 f(2h)$, which should be equal to f''(0) + O(h). This leads to the following conditions:

$$f(0):$$
 $\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 0,$
 $f'(0):$ $h\alpha_1 + 2h\alpha_2 = 0,$
 $f''(0):$ $\frac{h^2}{2}\alpha_1 + 2h^2\alpha_2 = 1.$

(b) The truncation error follows from the Taylor polynomials:

$$f''(0) - Q(h) = f''(0) - \frac{f(0) - 2f(h) + f(2h)}{h^2} = \frac{\frac{-2h^3}{6}f'''(\xi_1) + \frac{8h^3}{6}f'''(\xi_2)}{h^2},$$
$$= hf'''(\xi).$$

(c) Note that

$$f''(0) - Q(h) = Kh (17)$$

$$f''(0) - Q(\frac{h}{2}) = K(\frac{h}{2}) \tag{18}$$

Subtraction gives:

$$Q(\frac{h}{2}) - Q(h) = Kh - K(\frac{h}{2}) = K(\frac{h}{2})$$
(19)

We choose $h=\frac{1}{2}$. Then $Q(h)=Q(\frac{1}{2})=\frac{0-2\times0.4794+0.8415}{(\frac{1}{2})^2}=-0.4692$ and $Q(\frac{h}{2})=Q(\frac{1}{4})=\frac{0-2\times0.2474+0.4794}{(\frac{1}{4})^2}=-0.2464$. Combining (18) and (19) shows that

$$f''(0) - Q(\frac{1}{4}) = Q(\frac{1}{4}) - Q(\frac{1}{2}) = 0.2228.$$

(d) Since only 4 digits are given the rounding error is: $\epsilon = 0.00005$.

To estimate the rounding error we note that

$$|Q(h) - \hat{Q}(h)| = \left| \frac{(f(0) - \hat{f}(0)) - 2(f(h) - \hat{f}(h)) + (f(2h) - \hat{f}(2h))}{h^2} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{|f(0) - \hat{f}(0)| + 2|f(h) - \hat{f}(h)| + |f(2h) - \hat{f}(2h)|}{h^2} = \frac{4\epsilon}{h^2},$$

so $C_1 = 4$.

(e) The total error is bounded by

$$|f''(0) - \hat{Q}(h)| = |f''(0) - Q(h) + Q(h) - \hat{Q}(h)|$$

$$\leq |f''(0) - Q(h)| + |Q(h) - \hat{Q}(h)|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{3}h + \frac{4\epsilon}{h^2} = g(h)$$

This is minimal if g'(h) = 0. Note that $g'(h) = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{8\epsilon}{h^3}$. This implies that $h_{opt}^3 = 24 \cdot 0.00005$, so $h_{opt} = 0.0012^{\frac{1}{3}} = 0.1063$.